

Inactivation of *Eimeria* Oocysts in Aqueous Solution by a Dielectric Barrier Discharge Plasma in Contact with Liquid

G. Neretti,^{a,*} B. Morandi,^b M. Taglioli,^a G. Poglayen,^b R. Galuppi,^b G. Tosi,^c & C.A. Borghi^a

^aDepartment of Electrical, Electronic and Information Engineering, University of Bologna, Viale del Risorgimento 2, 40136 Bologna, Italy; ^bDepartment of Veterinary Medical Science, University of Bologna, Via Tolara di Sopra 50, 40064 Ozzano dell'Emilia, Bologna, Italy; ^cIstituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Lombardia ed Emilia Romagna "Bruno Ubertini," Sezione Forlì, Via Don Eugenio Servadei, 3, 47122 Forlì, Italy

*Address all correspondence to: Gabriele Neretti, Department of Electrical, Electronic and Information Engineering, University of Bologna, Viale del Risorgimento 2, 40136 Bologna, Italy; Tel./Fax: +39 051 2093584, E-mail: gabriele.neretti@unibo.it

ABSTRACT: This study presents a novel technique to inactivate coccidian oocysts in an aqueous solution. The technique consists of treating the contaminated liquid by using an atmospheric-pressure air dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) plasma in contact with it. Many experiments in several operating conditions were performed. The discharge was supplied by sinusoidal and nanosecond-pulsed voltages with a constant average power of ~ 7 W in both cases. Biological effects due to the plasma were investigated by performing tests with increasing treatment time. A sudden $\sim 40\%$ drop in the number of survived oocysts was reached in 4 min and a two-fold reduction was detected after 12 min of exposure. No significant differences in the biocidal efficacy were detected between the AC-driven and the nanosecond-pulsed discharge. Chi-squared statistical analysis on the treated samples showed significant statistical difference (with a statistical significance P value parameter less than 0.01) and nonrandomness warranty of the results, opening interesting scenarios for future developments.

KEY WORDS: cold atmospheric-pressure plasma, dielectric barrier discharge, biological effects, biocidal efficacy, parasites, coccidia

I. INTRODUCTION

Among human and animal diseases agents, parasites show much higher environmental resistance than the others.¹ Their complex wall structure in the infective stage makes parasites resistant to almost all physical conditions and chemical compounds.² An example of parasitic element are coccidia, whose oocysts may last more than 600 days in soil.³ Moreover, *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Cryptosporidium parvum*, two of the most severe zoonotic agents worldwide,⁴ belong to the same order (Eucoccidiorida) and sub-order (Eimeriorina). Coccidia are intracellular obligated protozoa, particularly feared in poultry and rabbit breeding. They have been responsible for serious economic losses since the first half of the 20th century.⁵ Breeders have tried to control coccidiosis over the years by means of adding pharmaceuticals to feed water, thereby increasing the risk of drug resistance.⁶

Cold atmospheric-pressure plasmas have been widely investigated in the past two decades for biocidal purposes^{7,8} and they have been applied with great efficacy to kill several pathogenic microorganisms.^{9–17} One of the most attractive features of cold atmospheric-pressure plasmas is their capability to enhance chemical processes without the need for high temperatures. They have been established to be energy-efficient and eco-friendly novel tools for the simultaneous production of intense ultraviolet radiation and extremely strong oxidizing species (O_3 , $OH\cdot$, H_2O_2),¹⁸ which are all effective against pathogens. Therefore, the versatility and low operational cost of room-temperature plasmas offer the possibility for interesting collaborations.

In this study, a novel method to inactivate coccidian oocysts is successfully explored. This technique involves the treatment of an aqueous solution containing the parasites by means of an atmospheric-pressure air dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) nonthermal plasma in contact with it. The discharge was supplied by both sinusoidal and nanosecond-pulsed voltage waveforms, keeping constant the average power. Several experiments with increasing treatment time were performed. The lack of vacuum facilities, the use of atmospheric pressure air, and the very limited temperature increments allow to use of this apparatus directly into a “real life” scenario.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The coccidia *Eimeria necatrix*, *E. maxima*, and *E. acervulina* were collected in poultry farms with clinical coccidiosis evidences. These coccidian types have been selected because of two main reasons. The first is related to the presence of an intermediate environmental stage where these coccidia should be very resistant and where we would like to intervene. The second reason is related to their relatively large size, of about 50–100 microns. This allows us to morphologically evaluate the infectivity of oocysts without needing experimental animals and avoiding the risk of infections for technicians.

The oocysts were not extracted directly from the animal intestine, but they were taken from litters to ensure complete sporulation. The litters were washed with spring water, sieved, and sedimented in conic goblets with a volume of 1 L to increase the parasites' concentration. The coccidian were gently washed every 30 min until a clear supernatant fluid was obtained. The tubes containing the suspension were overturned several times, and then 20 mL of contaminated liquid was poured into a polystyrene Petri dish with diameter of 90 mm to perform plasma treatments.

The Petri dish was placed between the electrodes of a plane-to-plane DBD device (Fig. 1). The high-voltage electrode was a stainless-steel plate with diameter of 100 mm. A 3-mm thick ceramic slab with surface area of $50 \times 50 \text{ mm}^2$ was used as dielectric substrate. A $30 \times 30 \text{ mm}^2$ copper strip was glued onto the insulating layer and connected to the ground.

The electrodes were supplied by both sinusoidal and nanosecond-pulsed waveform voltages to ignite an atmospheric-pressure air DBD plasma above the contaminated liquid surface and in contact with it (Fig. 2). The amplitude of the sinusoidal voltage was




FIG. 1: Schematic of the DBD device

set at 18 kV at a frequency of 4.7 kHz. When the nanosecond-pulsed power supply was used, peak voltage and pulse repetition frequency were set at 10.2 kV and 1 kHz, respectively. The average power delivered to the discharge was ~ 7 W in both cases. The air gap was set at 3 mm in the case of AC voltage (Fig. 2a), whereas it was adjusted at 1 mm in




FIG. 2: iCCD pictures of the sinusoidal (a) and nanosecond-pulsed (b) DBD plasma. Exposure time 210 μ s (a) and 1 μ s (b)




FIG. 3: The sporulation chambers were obtained by means of glass jars, in which continuous oxygenation of the biological samples was guaranteed by means of two aquarium pumps

the case of nanosecond-pulsed excitation (Fig. 2b). The plasma presented filamentary or homogeneous behavior accordingly to the excitation voltage waveform, thereby leading to different local properties and chemical processes even though the average power was kept constant. The DBD device used in this study has been described previously.¹⁹ The reader is referred to that article for in-depth details on operating conditions, discharge physics, and chemistry.

The contaminated liquid was exposed to the plasma for 4, 8, and 12 min and one control sample was prepared for each of the two considered excitation voltage waveforms. The treated suspensions were diluted with an equal volume of a 2.5% potassium dichromate solution ($K_2Cr_2O_7$) and then transferred in labeled sporulation chambers to obtain the infectivity stage (Fig. 3). The content of each sporulation chamber was centrifuged for 5 min at 1500 rpm 7 days after the plasma treatment and suspended again in flotation solution with specific gravity 1.3. A small amount of liquid at the top of the tube was then analyzed by means of an optical microscope. Hundred coccidia were isolated for each specimen and the number of survived (sporulated) oocysts after the plasma treatment was counted. The number unsporulated and damaged was monitored as well (Fig. 4). The damaged oocysts are noninfectious; therefore, they were not considered in the survived count. The results were evaluated via chi-squared test using the software EpiInfo.²⁰



FIG. 4: Images obtained by optical microscope. The picture shows (a) unsporulated oocyst, consisting of a nucleated mass of protoplasm enclosed by a resistant wall; (b) sporulated oocyst, consisting of an outer wall enclosing four sporocysts each containing two sporozoites (infective stage) and (c) oocyst's wall posttreatment damage

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Treatments were performed in triplicate, and the standard deviation between experiments was less than 7%. Results can be summarized as follows.

Although the DBD device generates very different plasmas according to the supply voltage waveform, the number of survived and noninfectious oocysts after treatment does not seem to depend strongly on the discharge excitation waveform. The graphs (Fig. 5) show that comparable trends and data are within error bars in all cases. Conversely, the plasma exposure time seems to be playing the major role. The number of survived parasites (Fig. 5a) presents a ~40% drop in the first 4 min, and a ~2-fold reduction is reached after 12 min of experiment. However, the number of noninfectious oocysts (Fig. 5b) mirrors this behavior with an increasing trend over the time.

These results suggest that the coccidian inactivation mechanism is dominated by the damaging action of the discharge (Table 1). The production of reactive species in liquid induced by the plasma are responsible for the parasite higher damage rate as the treatment time is increased. The DBD device used to perform the treatments has already been proven to be efficient in generating ozone, hydrogen peroxide, and acids in the treated liquid without significantly increasing its temperature.¹⁹ All these chemical compounds oxidize the oocysts wall structure, thereby leading to their inactivation. However, even if the nanosecond-pulsed discharge has been already demonstrated to be much more effective in enhancing the liquid-phase chemistry,¹⁹ the sinusoidal plasma shows a slightly higher inactivation efficiency, especially for longer test times.

This result is still not completely clear, but may be justified considering the AC-driven discharge streamer-like behavior. As mentioned above, the sinusoidal excitation leads to a filamentary plasma in which many streamers appear randomly in the discharge gap as soon as the breakdown voltage is reached. Those filaments propagate in the discharge gap at about 100 km/s²¹ and, therefore, may damage the oocysts wall by the impact of the particle accelerated in the discharge as well as for the chemical processes they induce in the liquid.

The statistical analysis performed with the chi-squared test for both treated samples (different times and DBD sources) and controls presented a significant statistical difference ($P < .01$), thereby demonstrating the plasma effectiveness in reducing coccidian infectivity (Table 2). These results show the chances to avoid two treatment times (8 min, 12 min). Despite the negative trend of the survival curve (Fig. 5a) is not supported by statistical significance ($P > 0.05$).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

This work shows a novel technique to inactivate coccidian parasites suspended in aqueous solution. The method presented consists of exposing the contaminated liquid to an atmospheric-pressure DBD nonthermal plasma in contact with it. Several experiments with different operating conditions were performed. The plasma was fired by means of both sinusoidal and nanosecond-pulsed input voltage with a water temperature increment




FIG. 5: Number of survived (a) and noninfectious (b) oocysts against treatment time

within few degrees. The average power delivered to the discharge was kept constant in both cases, and several experiments with increasing treatment time were performed.

The plasma treatments provided a ~50% drop of the number of survived oocysts after 12 min of exposure. No significant differences in the use of the two different excitation sources were detected. Chi-squared tests on the plasma-treated coccidian compared with the control pointed out the stochastic warranty of nonrandomness efficacy. This

TABLE 1: Results of two different tests

	Sinusoidal			Nanopulsed	
	S	NI		S	NI
K	50	50	K	50	50
4'	30	70	4'	30	70
8'	27	73	8'	29	71
12'	25	75	12'	28	72

S: sporulated; NI: non-infectious (unsporulated + damaged), K: control

TABLE 2: Statistical differences between control (K) and treated samples ($P < 0.01$) under the two different DBD sources

Sinusoidal		Nanopulsed	
K vs 4'	$\chi^2 = 7.52$ P = 0.006	K vs 4'	$\chi^2 = 7.52$ P = 0.006
K vs 8'	$\chi^2 = 10.22$ P = 0.001	K vs 8'	$\chi^2 = 8.37$ P = 0.003
K vs 12'	$\chi^2 = 12.29$ P < 0.001	K vs 12'	$\chi^2 = 9.27$ P = 0.002

means that if the assay is repeated 100 times, it should lead to the same results for 99 times. This medical statistical approach will allow us to reduce the number of the treated samples in future tests.

The inactivation results presented here are encouraging, but some aspects related to the coccidian inactivation mechanism are still unclear and need more investigation. To conclude, this method could pave the way for potential applications in the fields of medicine, veterinary medicine, and public health. Further work is needed to optimize the treatments and develop more efficient plasma devices.

REFERENCES

1. Pecson BM, Nelson KL. Inactivation of *Ascaris suum* eggs by ammonia. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2005;39(20):7909-14.
2. Quiroz-Castañeda RE, Dantán-González E. Control of avian coccidiosis: future and present natural alternatives. *BioMed Res Int.* 2015;2015:1-11.
3. Farr MM, Wehr EE. Survival of *Eimeria acervulina*, *E. tenella*, and *E. maxima* oocysts on soil under various field conditions. *Ann NY Acad Sci.* 1949;52:468-72.
4. EFSA. EU summary report on zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks 2012. *Eur Food Safety Author.* 2014;12(2):3547.
5. Shirley MW, Lillehoj HS. The long view: a selective review of 40 years of coccidiosis research. *Avian Pathol.* 2012;41(2):111-21.
6. Smith NC, Lunden A, Conraths FJ, Chapman HD. Control of coccidiosis into the next millennium. *Parasitol Today.* 1998;14:215-8.
7. Laroussi M. Sterilization of contaminated matter with an atmospheric pressure plasma. *IEEE Transact Plasma Sci.* 1996;24:1188-91.

8. Moisan M, Barbeau J, Moreau S, Pelletier J, Tabrizian M, Yahia LH. Low-temperature sterilization using gas plasmas: a review of the experiments and an analysis of the inactivation mechanisms. *Int J Pharma*. 2001;226:1-21.
9. Pignata C, D'Angelo D, Basso D, Cavallero M C, Beneventi S, Tartaro D, Meineri V, Gilli G. Low-temperature, low-pressure gas plasma application on *Aspergillus brasiliensis*, *Escherichia coli* and pistachios. *J Appl Microbiol*. 2014;116:1137-48.
10. Rossi F, Kyliän O, Rauscher H, Hasiwa M, Gilliland D. Low pressure plasma discharges for the sterilization and decontamination of surfaces. *New J Physics*. 2009;11:5017.
11. Zimmermann JL, Dumler K, Shimizu T, Morfill GE, Wolf A, Boxhammer V, Schlegel J, Gansbacher B, Anton M. Effects of cold atmospheric plasmas on adenoviruses in solution. *J Phys D Appl Phys*. 2011;44(50):5201.
12. Alekseev O, Donovan K, Limonnik V, Azizkhan-Clifford J. Nonthermal dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) plasma suppresses herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) replication in corneal epithelium. *Transl Vis Sci Technol*. 2014;3:2.
13. Nishioka T, Takai Y, Kawaradani M, Okada K, Tanimoto H, Misawa T, Kusakari S. Seed disinfection effect of atmospheric pressure plasma and low pressure plasma on *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Biocontrol Sci*. 2013;19:99-102.
14. Ooi HK, Lin CL, Wang JS. Effect of ozone treatment on *Toxocara canis* eggs. *J Vet Med Sci*. 1998; 60:169-73.
15. Mun S, Cho SH, Kim TS, Oh BT, Yoon J. Inactivation of *Ascaris* eggs in soil by microwave treatment compared to UV and ozone treatment. *Chemosphere*. 2009;77:285-90.
16. Vassal S, Favennec L, Ballet JJ, Brasseur P. Hydrogen peroxide gas plasma sterilization is effective against *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts. *Am J Infect Control*. 1998;26:136-38.
17. Liou C T, Wang JS, Ooi H K. Effect of ozone treatment on *Eimeria colchici* oocysts. *J Parasitol*. 2002;88:159-62.
18. Bruggeman P, Leys C. Non-thermal plasmas in and in contact with liquids. *J Phys D Appl Phys*. 2009;42(5):053001.
19. Neretti G, Taglioli M, Colonna G, Borghi CA. Characterization of a dielectric barrier discharge in contact with liquid and producing a plasma activated water. *Plasma Sources Sci Technol*. 2016; 26:015013.
20. Center for Disease Control. Atlanta (GA). CDC EpiInfo version 3.5.1. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/epiinfo>.
21. Fridman A, Chirokov A, Gutsol O. Non-thermal atmospheric pressure discharges. *J Phys D Appl Phys*. 2005;38:R1.