

Correction to the paper

**"Analytical Solutions for Averaged Equations
of Optimal Orbit-to-orbit Transfer with a Thrust of
Constant Magnitude in a Strong Gravity Field"
"Journal of Automation and Information Sciences",
2002, No. 34(4)**

In the indicated paper from the obtained possible solutions of the averaged differential equations of the optimal transfer between elliptical orbits [1] the solutions, which contain the extremum points of the eccentricity $e_m = 1$ or $e_m = 0$, are to be thrown off right away since they do not belong to the domain of definition of the equations $0 < e < 1, 0 < I < \pi$.

Correspondingly, while solving a partial problem about the flat manoeuvre of change of the form and size of an orbit with simultaneous change of the pericentre angle on 180° , one is to take account of the following remark. If it has turned out that $e_* = 0$ then to obtain solution one is to pass to the limit $e_* \rightarrow 0$ in solution (24)–(27), (29) taking into account fulfillment of condition (37), i.e., taking $e_m = e_* = 0$, $\delta_e^o = -1, \delta_e^f = 1$. You needn't take $e_* = 0$ in the very differential equations. Instead of formulae (41) we obtain

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2} - \arcsin(1 - 2e_o^2) + \delta_e \left[\frac{\pi}{2} - \arcsin(1 - 2e^2) \right] \right\},$$

$$|\omega - \omega_o| = (1 + \delta_e) \frac{\pi}{2},$$

where $\delta_e = -1, \gamma \leq \gamma_{me}; \delta_e = 1, \gamma > \gamma_{me}; \gamma_{me} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \left[\frac{\pi}{2} - \arcsin(1 - 2e_o^2) \right]$.

Therefore, the orbit becomes, at first, circular, and then it changes in the opposite direction up to the necessary form and size. At that

$$\gamma_f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2} - \arcsin(1 - 2e_o^2) - \arcsin(1 - 2e_f^2) \right\}.$$

1. Kiforenko B.N., Pasechnik Z.V., Vasilyev I.Yu., Analytical solutions for averaged equations of optimal orbit-to-orbit transfer with a thrust of constant magnitude in a strong gravity field, *Problemy upravleniya i informatiki*, 2002, No. 2, 126–139.

Z.V. PASECHNIK, V.V. GONCHAROV